Inequalities in Neighbourhood Walkability for Older Adults

Dr Razieh Zandieh Lecturer in Urban Design and Planning

razieh.zandieh@manchester.ac.uk
@RaziehZandieh



The University of Manchester



14-15 OCTOBER 2019 ROYAL COLLEGE OF PHYSICIANS, LONDON



Encouraging physical activity = one of the objectives of HUP Physical activity for older adults (\geq 65 years) is <u>important</u>.

- Increasing longevity
- Declining health with aging
- Prevalence of inactivity



Inactivity: especially among older residents of high-deprivation areas





OUTDOOR WALKING: The excellent form of PA for older adults







Factors that may influence outdoor walking

Personal characteristics	Socio-economic deprivation
 Socio-demographic status: Age Ethnicity marital status etc. 	 Individual deprivation: Individual disadvantage in terms of material welfare and the ability to participate in social life. Educational attainment Income Occupational status
 Health status 	 Area deprivation Relative disadvantage of urban areas in which people live.





Factors that may influence outdoor walking

Built Environment

Retirement Neighbourho**Sch**aller social network Age-related changes

Predominant context of walking for older adults

Introduction

Neighbourhood Walkability

- Macro built env. attributes:
- Residential density
- Land-use mix and intensity
- Street connectivity
- Retail density

- Micro built env. attributes:
- Safety
- Pedestrian infrastructure
- Aesthetics





Macro built environment attributes:

Residential Density

Number of dwellings in relation to the total amount of land devoted to residential use

Land-use mix

Level of integration of divers types of land uses in neighbourhood

Land-use intensity

Amount of land devoted to each type of use relative to the total land of the neighbourhood

Street Connectivity

Junction density in a neighbourhood

Retail density

Amount of retail floor area in relation to the total amount of land devoted to retails





Micro built environment attributes:

Safety

Absence of threat from crime

Pedestrian infrastructure

- Traffic condition
- Pavement condition
- Presence of amenities (bench, public toilet, shelter)
- Quietness
- Air quality

Aesthetics

Sense of beauty and visual appearance

Unanswered:

1) Do older residents of high-deprivation areas have a less supportive neighbourhood for outdoor walking than those of high-deprivation areas?

2) How do neighbourhood built environment attributes influence outdoor walking among older adults living in low- and high-deprivation areas?

Spatial inequality:

Uneven provision of urban opportunities and resources among urban areas with different levels of socioeconomic deprivation (UN-Habitat 2008)



Introduction

The University of Manchester

The associations between area deprivation and older adults' objectively measured outdoor walking levels (frequency and duration).

The Associations Between Area Deprivation and Objectively Measured Older Adults' Outdoor Walking Levels

Razieh Zandieh¹, Javier Martinez¹, Johannes Flacke¹, and Martin van Maarseveen¹

Abstract

Outdoor walking has positive impacts on older adults' health. It is crucial to identify less active older adults and to encourage them to take outdoor walks. Previous studies have shown that physical activity levels vary according to socioeconomic deprivation. However, knowledge on objectively measured older adults' outdoor walking levels is limited. This study investigated associations between area (socioeconomic) deprivation and older adults' objectively (geographic positioning system [GPS]) measured outdoor walking levels (i.e., walking durations and frequencies) in Birmingham, United Kingdom. It used a multilevel approach. The final sample included 173 participants (65 years and above). A questionnaire was used to collect data on personal characteristics (e.g., educational attainment as a proxy of individual deprivation, age, and marital status). The results show that independent of personal characteristics, area deprivation associates with outdoor walking durations between area deprivation areas spend less time for outdoor walking than those from low-deprivation areas. Associations between area deprivation and outdoor walking frequencies were nonsignificant. Future research needs to investigate how attributes (e.g., environmental attributes) of low- and high-deprivation areas.

Keywords

physical activity, walking durations and frequencies, socioeconomic, multilevel, GPS

Physical activity has positive impacts on health (Department of Health, 2011). It is especially important for older adults, who are at risks of chronic disease (Department of Health, 2011) and isolation (Age, 2010). For older adults, walking is an excellent type of physical activity (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1999; Cunningham & Michael, 2004). As Broderick, McCullagh, White, Savage, and Timmons (2015) have reported, walking and being able to spend time outdoors is very important for older adults. Outdoor walking (total walking for transport, recreation, and exercise in outdoor space) reduces risks of chronic disease (e.g., diabetes II and stroke) and improves social interactions (Lee & Buchner, 2008; Sugiyama & Thompson, 2007). Thus, development of interventions aiming at encouraging older adults to take outdoor walks has been recommended (Department of Health, 2011). Addressing outdoor walking is especially important for urban planning discipline, because outdoor walking takes place in outdoor spaces such as urban streets and urban open spaces. One of the aims of a healthy urban planning is encouraging outdoor walking among all people (Barton & Tsourou, 2000; World Health Organization [WHO], 2011). Great health benefits could be obtained by encouraging older adults who are less active-and, therefore, are more at risks of health problems—than others (Hillsdon, Lawlor, Ebrahim, & Morris, 2008). Reducing inactivity and eliminating disparities in physical activity are important public policy priorities (Healthy People, 2006; Public Health England, 2014; Ruseski, 2014). Therefore, it is necessary to examine disparities in older adults' outdoor walking levels and to identify less active groups of older adults.

Evidence indicates a socioeconomic deprivation gradient in older adults' health (Grundy & Sloggett, 2003; Lima-Costa, De, Oliveira, Macinko, & Marmot, 2012) and health behavior (e.g., healthy eating: Bianchetti, Rozzini, Carabellese, Zanetti, & Trabucchi, 1990; Conklin et al., 2014; Conklin, Forouhi, Surtees, Wareham, & Monsivais, 2015). Socioeconomic deprivation is defined as relative disadvantage in terms of social and material resources (Crampton, Salmond, Woodward, & Reid, 2000). It has been

¹University of Twente, Enschede, The Netherlands

Corresponding Author: Razieh Zandieh, Faculty of Geo-Information Science and Earth Observation (ITC), University of Twente, P.O. Box 217, 7500 AE Enschede, The Netherlands. Email: rch:xandieh@mail.com

Creative Commons CC BY: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License (http://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) which permits any use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

SAGE Open October-December 2017: 1–13 © The Author(s) 2017 DOI: 10.1177/2158244017740172 journals.sagepub.com/home/sgo



Introduction

The University of Manchester

Inequalities in macro built environment attributes in high- versus lowdeprivation areas and their possible influences on disparities in older adults' outdoor walking levels.



International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health

Article

Do Inequalities in Neighborhood Walkability Drive **Disparities in Older Adults' Outdoor Walking?**

Razieh Zandieh ^{1,*} ⁽⁰⁾, Johannes Flacke ¹ ⁽⁰⁾, Javier Martinez ¹ ⁽⁰⁾, Phil Jones ² ⁽⁰⁾ and Martin van Maarseveen¹

- Faculty of Geo-Information Science and Earth Observation (ITC), University of Twente, P.O. Box 217, 7500 AE Enschede, The Netherlands; j.flacke@utwente.nl (J.F.); j.martinez@utwente.nl (J.M.); m.f.a.m.vanmaarseveen@utwente.nl (M.v.M.)
- School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK; p.i.jones@bham.ac.uk
- Correspondence: r.zandieh@utwente.nl; Tel.: +31-53-4874532

Received: 31 May 2017; Accepted: 3 July 2017; Published: 7 July 2017

Abstract: Older residents of high-deprivation areas walk less than those of low-deprivation areas. Previous research has shown that neighborhood built environment may support and encourage outdoor walking. The extent to which the built environment supports and encourages walking is called "walkability". This study examines inequalities in neighborhood walkability in high-versus low-deprivation areas and their possible influences on disparities in older adults' outdoor walking levels. For this purpose, it focuses on specific neighborhood built environment attributes (residential density, land-use mix and intensity, street connectivity, and retail density) relevant to neighborhood walkability. It applied a mixed-method approach, included 173 participants (>65 years), and used a Geographic Information System (GIS) and walking interviews (with a sub-sample) to objectively and subjectively measure neighborhood built environment attributes. Outdoor walking levels were measured by using the Geographic Positioning System (GPS) technology. Data on personal characteristics was collected by completing a questionnaire. The results show that inequalities in certain land-use intensity (i.e., green spaces, recreation centers, schools and industries) in high- versus low-deprivation areas may influence disparities in older adults' outdoor walking levels. Modifying neighborhood land use intensity may help to encourage outdoor walking in high-deprivation areas.

Keywords: physical activity; GIS; GPS; facilities; qualitative; quantitative; perception; walking interview; multilevel/hierarchical analyses; healthy urban planning

1. Introduction

Outdoor walking refers to total walking for different purposes-including transport, recreation and exercise-in outdoor space. It is a type of physical activity and has certain benefits for healthy aging [1,2]. Therefore, physical activity guidelines recommend older adults to take outdoor walks [3,4]. Despite this widespread knowledge, there is prevalence of physical inactivity among majority of older adults [3,5], particularly among older residents of high-deprivation areas (areas with high levels of social and economic disadvantages) of cities [6,7]. It has been shown that older residents of high-deprivation areas walk less than those of low-deprivation areas [6,8]. These findings highlight the importance of promoting outdoor walking levels among older adults, particularly among older residents of high-deprivation areas.

To promote outdoor walking levels, a growing body of literature has addressed the link between the built environment and walking [9-12]. Although the influences of the built environment on walking are not yet well understood [13,14], transportation and urban planning research has identified

MDPI



Introduction

The University of Manchester

Inequalities in perceived micro built environment attributes in high-versus low-deprivation areas and their possible influences on disparities in older adults' outdoor walking levels.



International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health

Article

Older Adults' Outdoor Walking: Inequalities in Neighbourhood Safety, Pedestrian Infrastructure and Aesthetics

Razieh Zandieh 1,*, Javier Martinez 1, Johannes Flacke 1, Phil Jones 2 and Martin van Maarseveen¹

- Faculty of Geo-Information Science and Earth Observation (ITC), University of Twente, P.O. Box 217, 7500 AE Enschede, The Netherlands; j.martinez@utwente.nl (J.M.); j.flacke@utwente.nl (J.F.); m.f.a.m.vanmaarseveen@utwente.nl (M.v.M.)
- ² School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK; p.i.jones@bham.ac.uk
- Correspondence: r.zandieh@utwente.nl; Tel.: +31-53-4874532

Academic Editor: Marcia G. Orv

Received: 27 July 2016; Accepted: 21 November 2016; Published: 25 November 2016

Abstract: Older adults living in high-deprivation areas walk less than those living in low-deprivation areas. Previous research has shown that older adults' outdoor walking levels are related to the neighbourhood built environment. This study examines inequalities in perceived built environment attributes (i.e., safety, pedestrian infrastructure and aesthetics) and their possible influences on disparities in older adults' outdoor walking levels in low- and high-deprivation areas of Birmingham, United Kingdom. It applied a mixed-method approach, included 173 participants (65 years and over), used GPS technology to measure outdoor walking levels, used questionnaires (for all participants) and conducted walking interviews (with a sub-sample) to collect data on perceived neighbourhood built environment attributes. The results show inequalities in perceived neighbourhood safety, pedestrian infrastructure and aesthetics in high- versus low-deprivation areas and demonstrate that they may influence disparities in participants' outdoor walking levels. Improvements of perceived neighbourhood safety, pedestrian infrastructure and aesthetic in high-deprivation areas are encouraged.

Keywords: physical activity; walking; deprivation; built environment; older adults; perception; inequalities; GPS

1. Introduction

Outdoor walking, as a type of physical activity, takes place in outdoor spaces. It has well-known benefits for health in later life [1,2] and older adults are recommended to take outdoor walks [3]. However, evidence shows that most older adults, especially those living in high-deprivation areas of cities (areas with high levels of social and economic disadvantages) [4,5], are physically inactive [6,7]. Fox, et al. [8] found that older residents of high-deprivation areas walk less than those of low-deprivation areas. This finding indicates the necessity of encouraging outdoor walking among older adults, especially those living within high-deprivation areas.

Although some previous studies have found insignificant relationships between the built environment and older adults' walking [9,10], there is growing evidence showing that older adults' walking level is related to the built environment of residential neighbourhoods [11-13]. Neighbourhood built environment may encourage older adults to take outdoor walks [1,3]. Built environment attributes fall into two categories [14]: (1) macro built environment attributes, including neighbourhood residential density, land use-mix and route connectivity, which shape the overall design and structure of

MDP



To examine inequalities in built environment attributes in high- versus low-deprivation areas and their possible influences on disparities in older adults' outdoor walking levels.

Research questions

- How (un)equal are neighbourhood built environment attributes in high-versus lowdeprivation areas?
- What are the relationships between neighbourhood built environment attributes and older adults' outdoor walking levels?

METHOD



Research design

- A multilevel approach;
- A mixed- method (combining quantitative and qualitative methods) approach;

Birmingham, West Midlands, UK

- Over 1 million population
- Second largest city in







Area deprivation

Index of multiple deprivation (IMD): lowand high-deprivation areas of Birmingham.





Method

The University of Manchester

Pilot study

- To explore older adults' behaviour ;
- To test appropriateness of different methods
- Initial observation of disparities in the







Data Collection: (7th July – 31st October 2012)

Convenience samplingQuota sampling

Final Sample

173 participants:

80 from high-dep. areas 93 from low-

dep. areas

Sub-sample

19 participants:

- 10 from high-dep. areas
- 9 from low-dep. areas







GPS

To measure outdoor walking













- Walking in home-based neighbourhood:
- Started from home and ended in a destination;
- Followed a trip by car/public transport.

Average walking level (minute/ day)



A participant's home-based neighbourhoo





GIS: Macro built environment attributes



Residential use



Street connectivity



Land use mix / Land use-intensity / Retail density



Questionnaire:

 Micro built environment attributes

- Personal characteristics:
 - Age
 - Gender
 - Marital status
 - Ethnicity
- Individuated privation!th
 - Etatestional attainment







Walking interview (with a subsample):











- SPSS: statistical (e.g., descriptive statistics, t-test, hierarchical linear regression analyses – controlled for individual characteristics and deprivation);
- Arc GIS: analysis of spatial distributions;
- Atlas.ti: Content analysis.

RESULTS





Participants characteristics

	Area de	Total cample (low, and		
	Low-deprivation areas	High-deprivation areas	high-deprivation areas)	
Number of participants (number)	93	80	173	
Age of participants (<i>M</i> (SD)) Educational attainment (%)	74.8 (5.82)	73.5 (5.95)	74.2 (5.90)	
GCSE and higher	80	24	54	
Sub-GCSE	10	64	35	
Age (%)				
More than 75 years old	53	43	48	
65-74 years old	47	57	52	
Gender (%)				
Men	30	59	43	
Women	70	41	57	
Marital status (%)				
In relationship	53	53	53	
Single	47	47	47	
Ethnicity (%)				
White British	97	41	71	
BME groups	3	59	29	
Health status (%)				
Good	93	92	92	
Poor	6	8	7	

Note. GCSE = General Certificates of Secondary Education; BME = Black and minority ethnic.



Outdoor Walking Levels High-deprivation areas < Low-deprivation



The University of Manchester

Residential Density

QUAN. Results

High-deprivation areas > Low-deprivation areas

No relationship with walking.

QUAL. Results

High-deprivation areas: Many people and houses and more social disorder, less beautiful scenery and fewer local green spaces for outdoor walking

Low-deprivation areas: Residential area is suitable for recreational walking



The University of Manchester

Land-use Mix & Intensity

QUAN. Results

High-deprivation areas > Low-deprivation areas

No relationship with walking in high-dep areas. Positive relationship with walking in low-dep areas.

QUAL. Results

Different destinations for walking Close distances to different destinations



The University of Manchester

Land-use Intensity

QUAN. Results

Recreational & green space :

High-deprivation areas < Low-deprivation areas

Positive relationship with walking.

School & Industries:

High-deprivation areas > Low-deprivation areas Negative relationship with walking.

QUAL. Results

Schools: generate traffic and traffic hazards Industries: provide unattractive scenery Green space, eating/drinking, social infrastructure, retail: Low quality, not affordable in high-deprivation areas.



The University of Manchester

Street Connectivity & Retail Density

QUAN. Results

Street connectivity High-deprivation areas > Low-deprivation areas Negative relationship with walking.

Retail density:

High-deprivation areas > Low-deprivation areas No relationship with walking.

QUAL. Results

Street Connectivity: Satisfied with moving through different shortcuts.

Retail Density: Using car for heavy shopping, not to carry heavy bags;



The University of Manchester

Safety

QUAN. Results

High-deprivation areas < Low-deprivation areas Positive relationship with walking.

QUAL. Results

High-deprivation areas:

Lack of safety: Presence of gangs and groups of hooligans, anti-social behaviour, high crime rate, drug use and lack of street lights, lack of surveillance

Low-deprivation areas:

Perceived safety: nothing to frighten anyone, no real crime, no vandals, good visibility and safety



The University of Manchester

Traffic & pavement conditions

QUAN. Results

High-deprivation areas < Low-deprivation areas No relationship with walking.

QUAL. Results

Choosing routes with less problem/ walking in quiet traffic time or in quiet roads, crossing roads without using crossing.



The University of Manchester

Amenities & Quietness

QUAN. Results

Amenities

High-deprivation areas < Low-deprivation areas No relationship with walking.

Quietness:

High-deprivation areas < Low-deprivation areas Positive relationship with walking.



QUAL. Results

Using benches and public toilets in shops and shopping malls



The University of Manchester

Air quality & Aesthetics

QUAN. Results

Air quality

High-deprivation areas > Low-deprivation areas No relationship with walking.

Aesthetics:

High-deprivation areas < Low-deprivation areas Positive relationship with walking.



Air quality is poor in winter.

CONCLUSION



One of the first: combining spatial inequality, GIS and GPS technology and participants' perceptions.

Outdoor walking levels in neighbourhood: High-dep. Areas < Low-dep. areas

	Neighborhood	Quantitative Results		Qualitative Results	
MANCHESTI	Built Environment Attribute	Spatial Inequalities	Related to Walking ^a Levels	Perceived Influences of Neighborhood Built Environment Attributes on Outdoor Walking Levels	
1824 The University of Mancl	Residential density	High > Low	No	High: encouraged walking by providing close destination. Discouraged walking due to generating social disorder, less beautiful scenery and fewer open spaces. Low: encouraged walking by providing close destinations and offering a suitable area for recreational walks.	
e	Land-use mix	High > Low	No ^b	High and Low: close distance to diverse destinations/place was important and encouraged walking.	
tativ	Land-use intensity Eating/drinking	High > Low	No	High: were perceived as unattractive destinations by some participants and did not support walking among them. Low: were perceived as attractive destinations and encouraged walking.	
alit	Green space	High < Low	Yes +	High: lack of green spaces as attractive destinations/places for walking discouraged walking. Low: presence of green spaces as attractive destinations/places for walking encouraged walking.	
Ö	Recreation centers	High < Low	Yes +	High: lack of recreation centers in neighborhood did not support walking. Low: presence of recreation centers in neighborhood encouraged walking.	
()	Social infrastructure	High > Low	No	High: lack of these destinations (i.e., community centers with social activities for older adults) did not support walking. Low: lack of these destinations (i.e., libraries and community centers with social activities for older adults) did not support walking.	
ativ	Retail	High > Low	No	High: were perceived as unattractive destinations by some participants and did not support walking among them. Low: lack of these destinations (i.e., shops) in some areas discouraged walking.	
ults	Schools	High > Low	Yes –	High: presence of many schools discouraged walking due to generating traffic dangers. Low: presence of schools discouraged walking due to generating traffic dangers.	
la	Industries	High > Low	Yes –	High: presence of many industries discouraged walking by offering unattractive scenery in the neighborhood. Low: presence of industries was not discussed by participants.	
	Street connectivity	High > Low	Yes –	High and Low: perceived short and alternative routes encouraged walking.	
	Retail density	High > Low	No	High and Low: presence of spaces devoted to cars (e.g., parking) was not perceived as a challenge for walking.	

Quantitative + Qualitative

Results				
Neighbourhood Built Environment Attribute	Quantitative Results		Qualitative Results	
	Spatial Inequalities	Related to Walking Levels	Perceived Influences of Neighbourhood Built Environment Attributes on Outdoor Walking Level	
-	Safety	High < Low	Yes	High: perceived intimidating neighbourhoods were unsupportive and discouraging for outdoor walking. Low: perceived safe neighbourhoods supported and encouraged participants to take outdoor walks.
	Traffic condition	High < Low	No	High: perceived poor traffic conditions made outdoor walking uncomfortable. Participants took outdoor walk in quiet traffic time or in quiet roads and they carefully crossed the roads without using crossings. Low: perceived some poor traffic conditions made walking uncomfortable.
	Pavement condition	High < Low	No	High and Low: perceived poor pavement conditions made walking uncomfortable. Participants chose even pavements for walking.
	Presence of amenities	High < Low	No	High and Low: lack of benches and public toilets were perceived. Participants used benches and public toilets of shops, malls and supermarkets.
-	Quietness	High < Low	Yes	High: perceived noise, especially from traffic, in neighbourhood dissuaded participants to walk outside. Low: perceived quietness of neighbourhood definitely encouraged outdoor walking.
	Air quality	High < Low	No	High: air quality was perceived poorer in winter. A clean air was preferred for outdoor walking. Low: perceived clean air encouraged outdoor walking.
	Aesthetics	High < Low	Yes	High: perceived boring, uninteresting and unenjoyable neighbourhoods discouraged outdoor walki Low: perceived beautiful and enjoyable neighbourhoods encouraged outdoor walking.

MANCHESTER 1824

Method

Strategies aiming at

 Modifying intensities of certain land-uses in neighbourhoods.

Improving living environment conditions leading to positive perception of neighbourhood safety, quietness and aesthetics

may help in supporting and encouraging outdoor walking in high-deprivation areas



Correlation between macro and micro built environment attributes



walks (Age UK, 2016; Department of Health, 2011).

Martin van Maarseveen • Javier Martinez **Johannes Flacke** მ

.72

Dr Razieh Zandieh

Lecturer in Urban Design and Planning



razieh.zandieh@manchester.ac.uk

🕻 @RaziehZandieh

MANCHESTER 1824

The University of Manchester